

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,418	12/15/2003	Michael Boucher	7175-74059	3977	
23643	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
BARNES & '	THORNBURG		BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN		
	LIS, IN 46204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3632		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V7
Office Astrono	10/736,418	BOUCHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gwendolyn Baxter	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 January 2005.		
	This action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 19-29 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) \square objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	= : :	` ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document.	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee	Application No	e
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur			
		t received.	
application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a I		t received.	
application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	list of the certified copies no	t received. Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	

ail Date 20050802

Application/Control Number: 10/736,418

Art Unit: 3632

This is the third office action for serial number 10/736,418, Arm board Assembly, filed on December 15, 2003. This application is a continuation application, 09/802,441, filed March 9, 2001, now patent 6,663,055 B2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,802,641 to Van Steenburg in view of U.S. Patent No 1,516,795 to Schwarting. Van Steenburg teaches an apparatus for supporting a patient's limb relative to a patient support device (180). The apparatus comprises a mount (186, 188), a rod assembly (20a, b, 30a, b), a limb support (200, 202) and a support assembly (208-214). The mount is adapted to be coupled to the patient support device. This mount further includes a block (186, 188) adapted to be coupled to the patient support device (180, 182, 184). A post (34a, 34b) is coupled to the block for vertical movement and a handle (190, 192) is movable relative to the block to lock the post from moving vertically. The rod assembly includes an elongated rod (20a, b) coupled to a lockable swivel joint (120, 122). The lockable swivel joint is coupled to the mount and configured to permit movement of the elongated rod relative to the mount about a plurality of axes (72a, b, 32a, b). The limb support (200, 202) is configured to support the patient's limb. The support assembly is coupled to the limb board and coupled to the elongated rod. The elongated rod includes a first

Art Unit: 3632

end coupled to the first recited swivel joint (near 120) and a second end (near 84a, b) is spaced from the first end. The rod assembly includes a handle (181, b) positioned adjacent the second end. The handle is coupled to the first recited swivel joint and movable between a first position in which the first recited swivel joint is locked and a second position in which is the first recited swivel joint is unlocked. The first recited swivel joint is unlockable to permit simultaneous movement for the elongated rod about the first recited plurality of axes. The first recited swivel joint is lockable to prevent the elongated rod from moving about the first recited plurality of axes. However, Van Steenburg fails to teach an arm board and a support assembly including a lockable swivel joint configured to permit movement of the arm board relative to the elongated rod about a plurality of axes.

Schwarting teaches an apparatus for supporting a patient's arm relative to a patient support device. This apparatus comprises a mount (7-15, 17), a rod assembly (20), an arm board (29) and a support assembly (22-25, 27, 31). The arm board is configured to support the patient's arm (page 2, lines 92-101). The support assembly is coupled to the arm board and coupled to the elongated rod (20). The support assembly includes a lockable swivel joint configured to permit movement of the arm board relative to the elongated rod about a plurality of axes. This lockable swivel joint is considered to be the second- recited swivel joint and is a ball joint. The support assembly includes a support coupling the ball joint to the arm board. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the limb support and support assembly as taught by Van Steenburg to have incorporated the arm board and support assembly as taught by Schwarting as mere substitution of functional equivalent parts for the purpose of providing ample adjustment to meet the varying

Art Unit: 3632

requirements of different types of positions of the patient and corresponding changes in the application of the supporting means.

Regarding claim 24, Van Steenburg in view of Schwarting discloses the invention except for the radiolucent material of the arm board. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the arm board from a radiolucent material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a know material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is 571-272-6814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday, 8:30am -4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,418

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gwendolyn Baxter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632 Page 5

August 2, 2005